

**VILLAGE OF PAINTED POST  
PLANNING BOARD  
MEETING MINUTES  
WEDNESDAY, JULY 1, 2020**



**ATTENDANCE:**

**Planning Board Members Present:**

Marcia Weber (chair), Martha Tober, Moira French, Vickie Button, Kathleen Scalaro, Art Stilwell (alternate)

**Absent:**

Bill Scheidweiler (Village Board Liaison)

**Planning Consultant:**

Stephanie Yezzi & Chelsea Robertson

**Village Clerk:**

Anne Names

**Others:** Ralph Foster (Mayor), Larry Foor (Foor & Associates), Jill Staats & Jamie Johnson (Steuben IDA), Mike O'Connell & Amanda Ratchford (Larson Design), Charlie, Chris & Randy (Tyoga Container)

**Approx. 67 members of the public present**

---

**1. CALL TO ORDER:**

Meeting was called to order at 5:45 PM by Marcia Weber, Planning Board Chair.

**2. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FROM JUNE, 2020 WILL BE COMPLETED AT NEXT MEETING.**

**3. NEW BUSINESS:**

**A. Tyoga Container presentation of materials for proposed project at West Water Street Site:**

- S. Yezzi made statement regarding Planning Board processes for Special Use Permit applications. She stated that the project website has all materials included in the document library and will be updated with new materials following the meeting. She also encouraged residents to review the Village of Painted Post Zoning Law as adopted in 2019. The Planning Board has been meeting all requirements and completing all processes as indicated in the Law.
- M. O'Connell then reviewed the EIS Overview, as included in the full application materials submitted to the Planning Board prior to the meeting. Following the presentation, C. Morral highlighted that Tyoga has been working with the Village in regards to the concerns raised during the public hearing. Tyoga has heard the comments and would like to help meet the needs of the Village residents.
- S. Yezzi opened the floor to the Planning Board for comments and/or questions
- M. Weber asked for more detail on how trucks will be scheduled around peak hours and how the applicant will specifically address the concerns raised by the public. C. Frysinger outlined "a day in the life" of the company. He stated that Tyoga was required to give maximums for the study, so they could determine the impact from the highest volume possible in a day. Mr. Frysinger stated that the majority of outbound truck traffic would occur during the hour of 6-7 AM prior to the 7-8 AM peak hour. R. Cleveland discussed the processes of the other company occupying the site. This entity would only utilize 10-12 of the total trucks per day.
- M. Weber asked for clarification on the number of trucks, as the EIS stated a total of 50. The applicant response included 5-7 trucks for Tyoga Container and 10-12 for the other occupant. Marcia asked how Tyoga will help to implement the recommended mitigation strategies. The applicant stated that they will support the Village in implementation, which prompted the question of who will fund the crosswalk. Tyoga stated that they are open to discussing a shared cost with the

Village but would need to understand the cost of implementation. Marcia asked what the crosswalk would look like as it needs to be more than just a standard painted mechanism. The applicant stated that they understand it would need to include a light system for crossing.

- V. Button asked if all trucks would be 18-wheelers or box trucks. The applicant responded that while the other occupant may have a portion of box trucks, the majority would be 18-wheelers.
- M. Tober expressed that she had been living in the Village since the Foundry inhabited the site. She supports the project.
- M. French asked if Tyoga has explored the possibility of implementing a new ramp directly exiting 86. C. Robertson stated that the strategy would be costly, timely, and would not be the most practical as it would require a greater traffic impact. STC has been exploring this option for years; however, this is an expensive route with many hurdles.
- M. Weber asked if the building becoming a barrier for highway traffic is the only noise consideration (i.e. is there any indoor noise that must be evaluated?) C. Frysinger stated that majority of the work being done inside the building is completed by using forklifts to move product.
- S. Yezzi asked if it can be understood that the 6-foot fence includes barbed wire around the entire property. The applicant stated that there are outdoor cameras with minimal lighting; however, the barbed wire is needed around the building for added security. The other option would be for an 8-foot fence without barbed wire. This is open for discussion.
- S. Yezzi asked if the vegetated berm can include vegetation. The concern is that the application highlights the berm as a barrier between the residences and the site on the north side of the property. The applicant stated that this is also up for discussion as some trees can be planted.
- S. Yezzi stated that the website will be updated following the meeting. There has also been another public hearing scheduled for Wednesday, July 22 at 5:30 PM.
- The next Planning Board meeting following the public hearing is set for Wednesday, August 5 at the regularly scheduled time.

**4. OLD BUSINESS/CORRESPONDENCE:** None.

**5. NEXT MEETING:**

Wednesday, August 5, 2020

**Applications Due:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020

**6. ADJOURNMENT:**

**Motion:** *To adjourn the meeting*

**Motion by:** Vickie Button

**Seconded by:** Martha Tober

***Carried.***

Meeting was adjourned at 6:38 PM by Marcia Weber.

*Minutes taken by Stephanie Yezzi, Planning Consultant.*